



T: +44 (0)20 7583 1315
E: clerks@tgchambers.com
W: tgchambers.com/
<https://tgchambers.com/member-profile/tim-sharpe/>



Tim Sharpe

Year of Call: 2002

Practice Areas

- Civil Fraud
- Clinical Negligence
- Credit Hire
- Health & Safety
- Inquests
- Inquiries
- Personal Injury

Public Access

Undertakes Public Access work

Email:

tsharpe@tgchambers.com

Awards



Experience

Described as a “tenacious and talented young barrister” by Chambers & Partners, Tim maintains a busy general common law practice that includes personal injury claims, insurance litigation (including credit hire litigation and motor fraud) and costs litigation. He appears in court on a regular basis, and advises in writing with a short turn-around time. In addition, he has extensive experience of inquests and of defending Health and Safety prosecutions.

Civil Fraud and contempt

Temple Garden Chambers has been ranked in Chambers & Partners as the only Band 1 set for motor insurance fraud. Tim was ranked as a leading junior in this area, with his strengths including being “tenacious, economical and full of ideas”. It was also said that he “inspires confidence”.

Tim’s work in this area includes drafting defences on behalf of insurers in fraud cases, as well as advising in conference and in writing. Tim is also regularly instructed by Claimants in cases where fraud has been alleged.

Tim also has experience of contempt of court proceedings in the context of motor insurance fraud cases, acting for applicants and respondents.

Commercial

Lead by Andrew Prynne QC, and working with Shaman Kapoor,

Tim has recently been instructed on a Chancery Division enquiry into profits allegedly made in breach of fiduciary duty. The value of the claim is said to exceed £100 million, and the matter is ongoing. Further, Tim continues to be instructed by the State of Libya in relation to ongoing litigation concerning their recovery of a valuable property in central London that was purchased with funds misappropriated from Libya by the Gaddafi regime.

Costs

Tim's recent experience of costs includes representing the Respondent in the Court of Appeal in Walker Construction v Quayside Homes [2014] EWCA Civ 93. His costs work includes cases arising from interpretation of the new costs regimes introduced after the Jackson report, including Fixed Costs cases, Qualified One Way Costs Shifting, and issues arising from "fundamental dishonesty".

Credit Hire

Tim has many years of experience in dealing with credit hire cases. He is regularly instructed to deal with cases involving disputes as to rates, period of hire and issues of enforceability of the agreement. In addition, he has been instructed in claims where insurers suspect that fraudulent claims are being made, and where Claimants suspect that fraudulent rates evidence has been provided.

Health & Safety and inquests

Tim represented Balfour Beatty at trial in the manslaughter prosecutions arising out of the Hatfield train crash, and later on the successful appeal against sentence. Since then, he has been regularly involved in health and safety cases and in inquests. Recent inquests have included acting for travel companies in relation to deaths on trekking expeditions, for a nursery school manager in relation to the death of a child who choked on a cube of jelly, and for a country house estate in relation to the death of a gardener. Legal 500 has listed Tim for Health and Safety in the 2015 edition.

Personal Injury

Tim has a busy personal injury practice, representing both Claimants and Defendants.

Public Access

Tim is authorised to accept work from the public on a public access bases. Recent work has included representing a basic hire rates survey company and individuals in connection with inquests.

Directories

“Meticulous in his preparation and fiercely bright” (Legal 500 – 2015)

“Tim Sharpe was involved in the inquests and litigation that occurred in the wake of the Hatfield train crash. One source described him as “bright, hard-working and dependable – a possible star of the future””. Chambers & Partners 2013

“Tim Sharpe continues to make his mark in the field, and is “as tenacious a young man as you’ll find at the Bar.”” Chambers & Partners 2010

“Tim Sharpe has also made a mark in the area and is predicted to achieve great things in the future. This “tenacious and talented young barrister” defended a company in a major fatal accident prosecution in 2007.” Chambers & Partners 2009

“Viewed as the rising star of the set, Tim Sharpe acted on R v Network Rail and Others in the Central Criminal Court and was instructed by Fisher Scoggins to act on behalf of Balfour Beatty Rail Maintenance in the prosecution for manslaughter and health and safety offences arising out of the Hatfield train disaster.” Chambers & Partners 2008

Education

MA Cantab (Pembroke college)

Memberships

HSLA

PIBA

Cases

AXA v Reid

21.04.2021

Barristers involved: Tim Sharpe

Represented AXA in these High Court committal proceedings. The claim started life in the County Court, where Tim provided advice and drafted an Amended Defence to plead Fundamental Dishonesty when material was located that demonstrated that Mr Reid was in fact known to the allegedly independent witness (contrary to his assertions in his witness statement, signed with a statement of truth). Tim later appeared at the application hearing to gain permission to rely on that Amended Defence and subsequently advised on and drafted the proceedings in the High Court committal case.

Mr Reid admitted contempt and consented to permission being given to commence the committal proceedings. At the full committal hearing, Mr Reid was committed to prison for a period of 8 weeks, the court (Mrs Justice Eady) noting that “this is a case involving both a high level of culpability and significant harm” and observing: “I have taken account of the hardship that will be suffered by the defendant’s family in reducing the term to the degree that I have but I would be failing in my duty to do justice more generally if I did not impose an immediate custodial sentence in this case.”

Re C

March 2019

Barristers involved: Tim Sharpe

Acting for the American manufacturer of a heart monitoring machine in relation to the death of a patient on a cardiac unit in hospital who was attached to the said machine at the time that they suffered a fatal cardiac incident. This matter was heard over the course of 2 days before the Deputy Chief Coroner, Mr Derek Winter.

Re T

February 2019

Barristers involved: Tim Sharpe

Acting for a school in relation to an inquest touching the death of a young sportsman who suffered a heart attack while using the school premises for a cricket club practice session. The issues included consideration of the arrangements made for provision of and awareness of a defibrillator within the school. Heard over 3 days by Assistant Coroner for West London.

Re W

November 2018

Barristers involved: Tim Sharpe

Acting for Transport for London in relation to the inquest into the death of a young lady who fell onto the tracks of the central line before being struck by a train. The inquest returned a finding of accidental death contributed to by neglect.

Carlsberg Brewery explosion

June 2018

Barristers involved: Tim Sharpe

Acting for a company tasked with the removal of large industrial plant from the Carlsberg brewery in Northampton when there was a sudden release of gas in the area in which they were working. The subsequent explosion of that gas resulted in the death of a worker. Heard over the course of 8 days in Northampton.

Re M

August 2018

Barristers involved: Tim Sharpe

Acting for the Port of Tilbury in relation to a fall through a roof in dock premises by a worker tasked with replacing skylight panels on a warehouse roof. Listed for 2 days before the Senior Coroner for Essex.

Re R

December 2017

Barristers involved: Tim Sharpe

Acting for a drug rehabilitation service who operated within Chelmsford Prison in relation to the inquest into the death of a prisoner. Inquest heard for 2 days in front of the Senior Coroner for Essex.

Group Litigation Order arising out of alleged misfeasance in public office following the Hillsborough Stadium disaster

14/03/2018

Barristers involved: Keith Morton QC Tim Sharpe Robert Riddell Elizabeth Gallagher

Keith Morton QC, Tim Sharpe, Robert Riddell and Elizabeth Gallagher are instructed by the Head of Legal Services at South Yorkshire Police in connection with the Group Litigation Order ("GLO") granted in November 2016.

The GLO issues include consideration of whether officers of South Yorkshire Police and/or of the West Midlands Police sought to deliberately conceal the true circumstances of the Hillsborough tragedy in order to deflect blame, liability or censure away from the police, and/or whether they sought to establish what is alleged to be a false narrative of events leading up to the tragedy, and whether those actions amounted to the tort of misfeasance in public office.

Egner v Hastings Insurance

09.08.2017

Barristers involved: Tim Sharpe

Tim Sharpe (instructed by Miles Cowan of Horwich Farrelly) represented Hastings Insurance on a committal application on 9th August 2017.

In summary, Mr Egner's van was damaged by a driver insured by Hastings, as a result of which that individual was prosecuted for criminal damage. Mr Egner later presented a claim to Hastings for back injuries allegedly sustained by him in that incident. His claim was set out in various documents bearing statements of truth.

Hastings contended that the claim was dishonest and relied on statements that Mr Egner had provided to the police in connection with the prosecution of the insured driver, confirming he had witnessed the criminal damage take place from the pavement. The county court claim was later struck out.

The Claimant admitted contempt and the court committed him to an immediate term of 8 weeks in prison, reduced from 12 weeks to reflect his admission.

HHJ Moloney QC noted that dishonest but low value "whiplash" claims cost the insurance industry immense legal costs and that the public pays more in premiums. The court noted that the background to the claim (a cold call) was typical of the problem, and precisely the type of case to which the policy explained by Moses LJ in *South Wales Fire & Rescue v Smith* [2011] EWHC 1749 (Admin) applies, namely that those who make such false claims should expect to go to prison and that there is no other way to underline the gravity of such conduct, or to deter those tempted to make such claims.

Re Tiya Chauhan

07/07/2015

Barristers involved: Keith Morton QC Charles Curtis Tim Sharpe

This inquest arose out of the death of a 22 month old girl who was attending a nursery school. As part of an activity session, cubes of jelly were made available in a sensory tray. Tiya choked on a cube of jelly taken from this tray. The jury considered that the death was contributed to by neglect. Keith Morton QC represented the company operating the nursery, Tim Sharpe represented a senior manager of the company and Charles Curtis acted for the London Borough of Merton.

Quinn v Altintas

2014

Barristers involved: Tim Sharpe

Insurer's application for committal to prison for contempt of litigant in county court personal injury claim who falsely represented that a witness to a road traffic accident was independent and unknown to her, when they were long standing friends. Respondent received penalty of 6 weeks imprisonment, suspended for

Walker Construction v Quayside Homes Ltd

[2014] COURT OF APPEAL EWCA Civ 93

07/02/2014

Barristers involved: Simon Browne QC Tim Sharpe

Appellate decision on the exercise of the court's discretion on costs when successful Claimant recovered less than 6% of the original pleaded claim, and where trial judge failed to consider the reasonableness of a Calderbank offer made by the Defendant.

Re: SB [Overseas Adventure Activity Inquest: 2014]

13/01/2014

Barristers involved: Simon Jackson QC Tim Sharpe

Chen Wei v Cambridge Power and Light

13/07/2010

Barristers involved: Tim Sharpe

First instance decision on the applicability of the impact of the Cancellation of Contracts Made in a Consumer's Home or Place of Work Regulations 2008, and the effect of breach of the same on a Claimant's claim for credit hire charges. Upheld on appeal and now a leading decision on this issue.

R v P & Ors

2007

Barristers involved: Tim Sharpe

Prosecution of a Port and the Managing Director over a fatal accident involving a Port visitor.

R v Balfour Beatty Rail Infrastructure Services Ltd

31.01.2005 - 07.10.2005

Barristers involved: Fiona Canby Tim Sharpe

Acted on behalf of Balfour Beatty in the prosecution arising out of the Hatfield Train Crash. Section 3 HSWA and corporate manslaughter. Central Criminal Court. Led by Ronald Thwaites QC, Anthony Seys-Llewellyn QC, James Ageros. Instructed by Fisher Scoggins

Publications

TGC Fraud Update Issue XII

01/06/2021

Authors: James Henry Simon Browne QC Tim Sharpe James Laughland Anthony Johnson George Davies Lionel Stride Robert Riddell

Paul McGrath Edward Hutchin Ellen Robertson
Please see link below to the latest TGC Fraud newsletter.
You can view the publication at
https://tgchambers.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/TGC070_Newsletter_Fraud_issue_12_v1-002-1.pdf

TGC Fraud Newsletter Issue XI

11/08/2020

Authors: James Henry Tim Sharpe Scarlett Milligan Anthony Johnson Harriet Wakeman Ellen Robertson Olivia Rosenstrom James Yapp
Please see link below to the latest TGC Fraud newsletter.
You can view the publication at https://tgchambers.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/08/TGC062_Newsletter_Fraud_issue_11_v2.pdf

TGC Fraud Newsletter Issue IX - February 2019

26/02/2019

Authors: Tim Sharpe Anthony Johnson James Henry Ellen Robertson Elizabeth Gallagher
Please see link below for Issue IX of TGC Fraud Update, a publication we have set up with the stated aim of facilitating the sharing of information about decided claims involving issues of road traffic fraud and related matters.
You can view the publication on our website <http://tgchambers.com>

TGC Fraud Newsletter Issue VIII - July 2018

02/07/2018

Authors: James Henry Ellen Robertson Paul McGrath James Yapp Matthew Waszak Elizabeth Gallagher Tim Sharpe Edward Hutchin William Irwin Helen Nugent
Please see link below for Issue VIII of TGC Fraud Update, a publication we have set up with the stated aim of facilitating the sharing of information about decided claims involving issues of road traffic fraud and related matters.
You can view the publication at http://tgchambers.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/TGC041_Newsletter_Fraud_issue8_v1.pdf

TGC Fraud Newsletter Issue VII - February 2018

21/02/2018

Authors: James Laughland James Henry Marcus Grant Tim Sharpe Ellen Robertson George Davies
Please see link below for Issue 7 of TGC Fraud Update, a publication we have set up with the stated aim of facilitating the sharing of information about decided claims involving issues of road traffic fraud and related matters.
You can view the publication on our website <http://tgchambers.com>

TGC Fraud Newsletter Issue V - May 2017

04/05/2017

Authors: Anthony Johnson Tim Sharpe Matthew Waszak Robert Riddell Piers Taylor
Please see link below for Issue 5 of TGC Fraud Update, a publication we have set up with the stated aim of facilitating the sharing of information about decided claims involving issues of road traffic fraud and related matters. [TGC Fraud Newsletter Issue V](#)

TGC Fraud Update v3 - June 2016

22/06/2016

Authors: Marcus Grant George Davies Tim Sharpe Anthony Johnson David R. White James Henry Anthony Lenanton Piers Taylor Matthew Waszak
Stemming the tide of the fraud. Please see link below for the third edition of TGC Fraud Update, a publication we have set up with the stated aim of facilitating the sharing of information about decided claims involving issues of road traffic fraud and related matters.
You can view the publication on our website <http://tgchambers.com>

TGC Fraud Update February 2016

03/02/2016

Authors: Charles Curtis Marcus Grant Edward Hutchin George Davies Tim Sharpe Anthony Johnson James Henry Richard Boyle Matthew Waszak

Facing up to the challenge of fraud rings. Please see link below for the second edition of TGC Fraud Update, a publication which was set up with the stated aim of facilitating the sharing of information about decided claims involving issues of road traffic fraud and related matters. Thank you also for all of the kind words and helpful feedback received about the inaugural edition.

You can view the publication on our website <http://tgchambers.com>

TGC Fraud Update

09/10/2015

Authors: Marcus Grant Alex Glassbrook Tim Sharpe Anthony Johnson James Henry Emily Wilsdon

Welcome to the inaugural edition of TGC Fraud Update, a new publication from the fraud team at Temple Garden Chambers containing a number of articles on legal matters relevant to insurance fraud practitioners and a digest of recent noteworthy cases in which Members of Chambers have been involved.

You can view the publication on our website <http://tgchambers.com>

Prosecuting and Defending Health and Safety Cases

30/04/2007

Authors: Dominic Adamson QC Benjamin Casey Fiona Canby Tim Sharpe Tim Kevan

Co-author of book written with the intention of highlighting the various areas of law associated with prosecuting and defending health and safety cases

News

TGC Fraud Update - Issue XII June 2021

01/06/2021

Barristers involved: James Henry Simon Browne QC Tim Sharpe James Laughland Anthony Johnson George Davies Lionel Stride Robert Riddell Paul McGrath Edward Hutchin Ellen Robertson

Also in this issue:

- Simon Browne QC and Anthony Johnson report on the latest (and final) instalment in the Seabrook trilogy. A victory in the Court of Appeal and clarification on Part 36 Offers.
- Tim Sharpe tells the tale of how Celebrity Big Brother winner Alex Reid was committed to prison for contempt of court.
- Anthony Johnson takes the sting out of the tail of the High Court decision in *Brint v Barking* [2021] EWHC 290 (QB).
- Lionel Stride analyses the proper approach to the particularisation of deceit claims following *Kasem v UCLH* [2021] EWHC 136 (QB).
- James Laughland treats us to the most boring pub quiz question of all time, and then seeks to regain our interest with the tale of a £4M claim gone wrong, for all the right reasons.
- I look at the admissibility of ANPR evidence in light of the latest County Court guidance from HHJ Cotter QC in *Harrison v Buncher*.
- George Davies explores what can happen when the boot is on the other foot: dishonesty of the defendant and indemnity / 'exceptional circumstances' costs.

As always, these articles are accompanied by summaries and interesting practice points taken from a host of recent decisions in the types of cases that we all deal with on a daily basis.

Please do contact a member of the TGC fraud team if you have any queries about any of the items dealt with in this issue, or indeed about any other issues relating to insurance fraud and related matters.

I hope that the contents of this newsletter are both interesting and useful; as ever I would welcome any feedback from our readers.

James Henry
Editor

You can view the publication on our website <http://tgchambers.com>

Tim Sharpe instructed for insurers in High Court proceedings for the committal to prison of Alex Reid for contempt of court

21/04/2021

Barristers involved: Tim Sharpe

Mr Reid admitted contempt and consented to permission being given to commence the committal proceedings. The hearing on 21st April 2021 was to determine the appropriate penalty for the admitted contempt. In her Judgment on that issue, Mrs Justice Eady said:

“As the claimant has observed, it is plain the defendant knew Mr Summers, and they were more than passing acquaintances. By his witness statement in the county court proceedings, however, the defendant falsely represented that Mr Summers was an independent witness to the collision, when he was not. This was, as the defendant now acknowledges, no mere slip; the defendant went as far as saying that the witness might have recognised him, but he had not seen the witness before (thereby attempting to head off the potential suspicion of the two being acquainted, the defendant having been a professional fighter, and Mr Summers claiming to be looking for a martial arts shop when he allegedly witnessed the collision).”

The court went on to consider the seriousness of the false statements, noting that “this is a case involving both a high level of culpability and significant harm” and observing:

“As the claimant has submitted, the contempt in this case amounts to a plain, deliberate, and dishonest attempt to interfere with the administration of justice in a material way. The lie told by the defendant was designed to set Mr Summers up in the eyes of the claimant insurance company, and the court, as someone upon whose evidence they could rely as being unconnected to the parties, offering an independent account of the circumstances of the collision. Inevitably, considerable weight is often given to such evidence (in particular where the parties themselves are at odds as to how a collision took place). That is true of a court, where a judge has to adjudicate between two diametrically opposed accounts, but will equally be the case of an insurer that adopts the entirely responsible approach that contested litigation should be the last resort. The false statement was designed to bolster the defendant’s chances of proving his claim on liability (or making the claimant insurer accept his version over that of their own insured, who did not have an independent witness), and thereby of recovering some or all of his claim for damages.”

The court determined that the starting point should be a custodial period of 4 months, but reduced this by 25% to reflect Mr Reid’s admission. The court noted all of his personal mitigation and reduced the period further to 8 weeks. The court however declined to suspend the period of committal, concluding:

“I have taken account of the hardship that will be suffered by the defendant’s family in reducing the term to the degree that I have but I would be failing in my duty to do justice more generally if I did not impose an immediate custodial sentence in this case.”

The full judgment can be viewed [here](#).

TGC Fraud Newsletter

11/08/2020

Barristers involved: James Henry Tim Sharpe Scarlett Milligan Anthony Johnson Harriet Wakeman Ellen Robertson Olivia Rosenstrom James Yapp

Please see link below to Issue XI of the TGC Fraud newsletter.

You can view the publication at https://tgchambers.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/08/TGC062_Newsletter_Fraud_issue_11_v2.pdf

TGC Fraud Newsletter

26/02/2019

Barristers involved: Tim Sharpe Anthony Johnson James Henry Ellen Robertson Elizabeth Gallagher

This publication has been set up with the stated aim of facilitating the sharing of information about decided claims involving issues of road traffic fraud and related matters.

You can view the publication at http://tgchambers.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/Newsletter_Fraud_issue9_v3.pdf

Tim Sharpe represents lorry driver in inquest concerning the death of a cyclist in London

14/01/2019

Barristers involved: Tim Sharpe

The driver was proceeding west along High Holborn and was stopped in traffic, intending to continue straight ahead when the traffic cleared. Whilst the lorry was stationary, a cyclist came along the nearside of the lorry and then turned sharp right directly in front of the lorry, into a 1 m gap between the lorry and the van in front. These actions were unseen by the driver. While the cyclist might have been visible in the mirrors for some seconds, he was not seen and observation of him was hindered by the lens housing and the distortion at the edge of the mirrors. Within 2 seconds of the cyclist turning into the path of the lorry, the lorry started to move forwards at no more than 8 mph, the driver having probably completed her checks of the mirrors just before the cyclist moved into the position ahead of and at an angle to her lorry. Dr Fisher sadly sustained fatal injuries. Dr Fisher was a Consultant Physician who had previously served the Royal Household.

You can view the publication at <https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2019/jan/09/cyclist-death-london-streets-peter-fisher-inquest>

TGC Fraud Update Issue VIII - July 2018

02/07/2018

Barristers involved: James Henry Ellen Robertson Paul McGrath James Yapp Matthew Waszak Elizabeth Gallagher Tim Sharpe Edward Hutchin Alex Glassbrook William Irwin Helen Nugent
Please see link below for Issue VIII of the TGC Fraud newsletter.

You can view the publication at http://tgchambers.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/TGC041_Newsletter_Fraud_issue8_v1.pdf

TGC Fraud Update Issue VII - February 2018

21/02/2018

Barristers involved: James Laughland James Henry Marcus Grant Tim Sharpe Ellen Robertson George Davies
Please see link below for Issue VII of the TGC Fraud newsletter.

You can view the publication on our website <http://tgchambers.com>

Fundamentally dishonest Claimant imprisoned for contempt of court

10/08/2017

Barristers involved: Tim Sharpe

In summary, Mr Egner's van was damaged by a driver insured by Hastings, as a result of which that individual was prosecuted for causing criminal damage. Some two years after this incident, Mr Egner presented a claim to Hastings for back injuries allegedly sustained by him in that incident. His claim was subsequently issued, and in his Claim Form and Particulars of Claim he claimed to have been the driver of the van at the time it was struck and that as a result he sustained injuries (as also set out in detail in a medical report). He further set out his case on how he was injured by way of Part 18 Replies, claiming that he was jolted in his seat and that the pain was so much that he had to take time off work as he could not lift or even walk. Hastings contended that the claim was dishonest and in support of the contention that Mr Egner was not in fact in the van at the material time, relied on statements that Mr Egner himself and his then partner had provided to the police in connection with the prosecution of the insured driver, in which they confirmed they had witnessed the criminal damage take place from the pavement. The county court claim was transferred to the High Court and ultimately struck out. Upon the court granting the insurer's application for permission to bring proceedings for contempt, Mr Egner admitted his contempt.

At the hearing on 9th August 2017, the court committed Mr Egner to an immediate period of custody of 8 weeks, reduced from 12 weeks to reflect his admission. He was also ordered to pay £5,000 towards the costs of the original action and a further £9,000 towards the costs of the committal proceedings.

In the course of judgement, HHJ Moloney QC noted that dishonest but low value "whiplash" claims cost the insurance industry immense legal costs and that the public pays more in premiums. The court noted that the background to the claim (a cold call) was typical of the problem, and precisely the type of case to which the policy explained by Moses LJ in *South Wales Fire & Rescue v*

Smith [2011] EWHC 1749 (Admin) applies, namely that those who make such false claims should expect to go to prison and that there is no other way to underline the gravity of such conduct, or to deter those tempted to make such claims. The court considered that on the facts of the case, to suspend the sentence would detract from the policy of deterrence.

TGC Fraud Update Issue V - May 2017

04/05/2017

Barristers involved: Anthony Johnson Tim Sharpe Matthew Waszak Robert Riddell Piers Taylor
Please see link below for Issue V of the TGC Fraud newsletter.

[TGC Fraud Update Issue V May 2017.](#)

TGC Fraud Update v3 - June 2016

22/06/2016

Barristers involved: Marcus Grant George Davies Tim Sharpe Anthony Johnson David R. White James Henry Anthony Lenanton Piers Taylor Matthew Waszak
Stemming the tide of the fraud.

Please see link below for the third edition of TGC Fraud Update, a publication we have set up with the stated aim of facilitating the sharing of information about decided claims involving issues of road traffic fraud and related matters.

You can view the publication on our website <http://tgchambers.com>

TGC Fraud Update

03/02/2016

Barristers involved: Charles Curtis Marcus Grant Edward Hutchin George Davies Tim Sharpe Anthony Johnson James Henry Richard Boyle Matthew Waszak

Thank you for reading this second edition of TGC Fraud Update, a publication which was set up with the stated aim of facilitating the sharing of information about decided claims involving issues of road traffic fraud and related matters. Thank you also for all of the kind words and helpful feedback received about the inaugural edition.

Some of the trickiest types of fraud cases to defend at trial are those involving fraud rings- linked cases involving several separate purported road traffic accidents featuring the same or overlapping personnel (sometimes organised criminals, albeit frequently caught out by their disorganisation!) and usually deliberately staged, contrived or induced accidents. Often there is an overall 'guiding mind' linking seemingly unrelated incidents, be it an individual, an accident management or hire company or even a firm of solicitors.

However, one of the challenges that arises is that intelligence can never be perfect and often the identities of some of the dramatis personae will never be known (this may well often be because they do not exist). Often the fraud ring cases that do reach trial are those where the links between the claims and the claimants are at their most oblique- direct evidence of communication and co-operation between individuals who claim not to know each other is usually enough to scare off even the most stubborn claimant solicitors!

As with most rapidly developing areas of law, information about the outcome of decided claims, and more importantly the reasons behind them, is a great way equipping oneself to best tackle future claims where the same or similar issues are raised. Fortunately, TGC has had a glut of fraud rings successfully defended to trial in the last couple of months- the lead article focusses on the particular challenges posed (and duly overcome) in some of these cases. It can be seen that thorough preparation is critical, as these types of cases are invariably 'document heavy', but also that there is no substitute for demolishing the credibility of a suspect individual through robust cross-examination.

The TGC fraud team are more than happy for you to contact them if you have any queries about any of the contents of this issue, or indeed about any other issues relating to insurance fraud and related matters.

